



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,663	08/16/2006	Yusuke Yamada	0033-1093PUS1	9971
2292	7590	08/06/2009	EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747				ANDREWS, LEON T
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2416				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
08/06/2009		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/589,663	YAMADA, YUSUKE	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LEON ANDREWS	2416	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8/16/2006.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ . |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/16/06, 11/15/06</u> . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____. |

DETAILED ACTION

Oath of Declaration

1. The Oath of Declaration filed August 16, 2006 claimed Foreign Priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), but, the Foreign Priority document was not submitted by applicant or received by the Patent Office. Also, PCT under 35 U.S.C. 120 was not disclosed or entered on the Oath of Declaration form.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) by Onoe et al. (Pub. No.: US 2005/0163130 A1).

Regarding Claim 1, Onoe at al. discloses a transmission device (transmitter for performing packet communication, ¶ [0032], page 1, lines 2-5) conducting communication with predetermined quality ensured, comprising:

a classification unit (transmitter for adding header and data portion of the packet, ¶ [0015], page 2, lines 1-3) classifying a packet of data to be transmitted (Fig. 5, classify by packets; transmission packets are classified into packets, ¶ [0086], page 6, lines 1-2) according to each packet header (transmission side packet header contains class information, ¶ [0014], page 2, lines 2-4; Header added to the packet to be transmitted, ¶ [0015], page 2, lines 1-2),

a determination unit (Fig. 5, relay node detects the packet identifier, ¶ [0015], page 2, lines 4-5) organizing a set of packets having the same packet header as a packet group according to a classified result by said classification unit, and determining whether to be transmitted with a bandwidth guaranteed according to a bit rate of the packet group (packet identifier from the packet header decides the related packet is guaranteed in bandwidth and transfer the related packet in a bandwidth set at a bandwidth speed of the bandwidth guaranteed packet, ¶ [0015], page 2, lines 5-11), and

a request unit (identifier from the packet communication, ¶ [0015], page 2, lines 4-5) requesting a bandwidth control device to reserve a bandwidth for a packet group determined to be transmitted with a bandwidth guaranteed (packet identifier from the packet header decides that the related packet is guaranteed in bandwidth and transferred in a bandwidth set of the

guaranteed bandwidth, ¶ [0015], page 2, lines 5-9) by said determination unit.

Regarding Claim 2, Onoe at al. discloses the transmission device according to claim 1, wherein said determination unit comprises

a measurement unit (table with identifier, ¶ [0011], page 1, line 2) measuring the bit rate per predetermined unit time (bit rate data transfer where the transfer speed of the data is represented by 100 bps, ¶ [0011], page 1, lines 9-11; packet transfer speed at the time the identifier is added and the related packet is transferred, ¶ [0015], page 2, lines 4-10) of said packet group,

a calculation unit (table with guaranteed bandwidth, ¶ [0011], page 1, lines 2-3) calculating a parameter representing variation in the bit rate with a latest predetermined number of data to be a subject from a measured result by said measurement unit (Fig. 3, packet indicated by a guaranteed bandwidth is represented by a bit rate of data transfer speed whereby the packet is transferred with respect to the requested transfer speed, ¶ [0011], page 1, lines 9-16), and

a packet determination unit (relay node identifies/detects the packet, ¶ [0011] and [0015], page 1, line 13 and page 2, lines 4-5 respectively) determining that the packet group is a packet group to be transmitted with a bandwidth guaranteed when the parameter calculated by said calculation unit is at most a preset value (guaranteed bandwidth of the packets to be transferred represented by numerals with respect to a requested transfer speed, ¶ [0011], page 1, lines 11-17; packet in a bandwidth set of the guaranteed bandwidth is transferred at a constant speed (preset value) of the bandwidth guaranteed packet, ¶ [0015], page 2, lines 6-11).

Regarding Claim 3, Onoe at al. discloses the transmission device according to claim 2, wherein said

calculation unit increases the number of data to be the subject of calculation when the calculated parameter is larger than a preset value and recalculates the parameter (packets indicated by bandwidth guarantee of 100 and 150 represented by data of 100 and 150 respectively are represented by numerals proportional to the guaranteed bandwidth with respect to the requested transfer speed, ¶ [0011], page 1, lines 5-17), and

said packet determination unit determines that the packet group is the packet group to be transmitted with a bandwidth guaranteed when a value of said recalculated parameter is at most the preset value (guaranteed bandwidth of the packets to be transferred represented by numerals with respect to a requested transfer speed, ¶ [0011], page 1, lines 11-17; packet in a bandwidth set of the guaranteed bandwidth is transferred at a constant speed (preset value) of the bandwidth guaranteed packet, ¶ [0015], page 2, lines 6-11)..

Regarding Claim 4, Onoe at al. discloses the transmission device according to claim 2, wherein said calculation unit repeats calculation of the parameter until the parameter becomes at most the preset value (Fig. 3, packets indicated by bandwidth guarantee of 100 and 150 represented by data 100 and 150 represented by numerals and performing packet communication with respect to the requested speed, ¶ [0011], page 1, lines 5-17), or said number of data to be the subject becomes a maximum that is determined in advance, while sequentially increasing the number of data to be the subject.

Regarding Claim 5, Onoe at al. discloses a transmission device conducting communication with predetermined quality ensured, comprising:

a classification unit classifying a packet of data to be transmitted (transmission packets are classified into packets, ¶ [0086], page 6, lines 1-2) according to each packet header (transmission side packet header contains class information, ¶ [0014], page 2, lines 2-4),
a determination unit organizing a set of packets having the same packet header as a packet group according to a classified result by said classification unit, and determining whether to transmit with a bandwidth of said packet group ensured (packet identifier from the packet header decides the related packet is guaranteed in bandwidth and transfer the related packet in a bandwidth set at a bandwidth speed of the bandwidth guaranteed packet, ¶ [0015], page 2, lines 5-11), and

a request unit requesting a bandwidth control device to reserve a bandwidth for a packet group (packet identifier from the packet header decides that the related packet is guaranteed in bandwidth and transferred in a bandwidth set of the guaranteed bandwidth, ¶ [0015], page 2, lines 5-9),

wherein said determination unit calculates a buffer capacity required when a packet group is to be transmitted in a specific bandwidth (bandwidth guarantee set with respect to communication is assigned a queue, ¶ [0015], page 2, lines 1-3; queue prepared for the bandwidth contains a buffer for holding the packet data, ¶ [0018], page 2, lines 1-4), performing the calculation with the bandwidth changed (packets indicated by bandwidth guarantee of data 100 and 150 are represented by numerals proportional to the guaranteed bandwidth, ¶ [0011], page 1, lines 5-13), deriving a relationship between a required bandwidth and a required buffer

capacity (queues prepared for the bandwidth contains a buffer for holding the packet data, ¶ [0018], page 2, lines 1-4), and determining whether the packet group is a packet group to be transmitted with a bandwidth guaranteed from said relationship (bandwidth guarantee set with respect to communication contains packet data to be transferred, ¶ [0015], page 2, lines 1-7).

Regarding Claim 6, Onoe at al. discloses the transmission device according to claim 5, wherein said determination unit extracts a maximum value of the buffer capacity required for each requested bandwidth (packet bandwidth to actively use the resources to the highest limit in an effort to raising the transfer speed of the packets as much as possible, ¶ [0026], page 2, lines 4-7; with the queues prepared for the bandwidth containing a buffer for the packet data, ¶ [0018], page 2, lines 1-4), and determines whether the packet group is a packet group to be transmitted with a bandwidth guaranteed (bandwidth guarantee set with respect to communication contains packet data to be transferred, ¶ [0016], page 2, lines 1-7) depending upon whether a graph representing a relationship between a requested bandwidth and the maximum value of the required buffer capacity is within a predetermined region or not (Fig. 3, packets indicated by bandwidth guarantee of 100, 150, represented by proportional numerals performing the packet communication in the guaranteed bandwidth, ¶ [0011], page 1, lines 5-15).

Regarding Claim 7, Onoe at al. discloses the transmission device according to claim 6, wherein said determination unit causes said request unit to request a bandwidth in said predetermined region (bandwidth requested set by the predetermined packet for certain communication, ¶ [0024], page 2, lines 2-6), and requests a buffer unit to ensure the maximum value of the buffer

capacity (bandwidth guarantee set with respect to communication is assigned a queue, ¶ [0015], page 2, lines 1-3; queue prepared for the bandwidth contains a buffer for holding the packet data, ¶ [0018], page 2, lines 1-4) in said predetermined region.

Regarding Claim 8, Onoe at al. discloses the transmission device according to claim 7, wherein said determination unit determines the bandwidth to be requested (bandwidth requested set by the predetermined packet for certain communication, ¶ [0024], page 2, lines 2-6) and the buffer capacity (queue prepared for the bandwidth contains a buffer for holding the packet data, ¶ [0018], page 2, lines 1-4) to be ensured such that a total cost is minimized based on a cost required to ensure the bandwidth (value as the set guaranteed bandwidth, ¶ [0090], page 6, lines 2-6) and a cost of the buffer capacity (value as the buffer, ¶ [0090], page 6, line 12).

Regarding Claim 10, Onoe at al. discloses the transmission device according to claim 1, wherein, when there is a change of at least a predetermined criterion in characteristics of a bit rate of a packet group once determined to be transmitted with a bandwidth guaranteed by said determination unit, said request unit requests said bandwidth control device to modify the bit rate of the bandwidth guaranteed for the packet group to the latest value (with respect to packet at a transfer speed, bit rate data transfer packet indicated by bandwidth guaranteed 100 and transfer speed of 100 bps with packet indicated by guaranteed bandwidth 150 and transfer speed of 150 bps, the packets represented by numerals proportional to the guaranteed bandwidth, ¶ [0011], page 1, lines 5-17).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) by Onoe et al. in view of Rogers (Pub. No.: US 2001/0036181 A1).

Regarding Claim 9, Onoe et al. discloses the transmission device according to claim 1, wherein, when determination is made by said determination unit that a packet group once determined to be transmitted with a bandwidth guaranteed (related packet is guaranteed in bandwidth and transferred in a bandwidth set of the guaranteed bandwidth, ¶ [0015], page 2, lines 6-9) is not observed for a predetermined time and is no longer necessary to ensure the bandwidth (at time of packet communication related packet not guaranteed in bandwidth, ¶ [0015], page 2, lines 4-7; at time of packet transmission packet is controlled with respect to the queue and transfer carried out with priority in bandwidth unguaranteed packets, ¶ [0021], page 2, lines 7-12), said request unit requests said bandwidth control device to release the bandwidth guaranteed for the packet group

(set bandwidth discarded and with bandwidth unguaranteed at speed more than the set bandwidth transfer is not carried out, ¶ [0028], page 3, lines 5-8).

Onoe et al. fails to disclose release the bandwidth.

But, Rogers discloses released the bandwidth, ¶ [0025], page 3, line11.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Rogers's release the bandwidth because this would have allowed the system to guarantee the use and releasing of the bandwidth for use to another application, ¶ [0025], page 3, lines 9-11.

Regarding Claim 11, Onoe at al. discloses the transmission device according to claim 1, wherein, when there is a change of at least a predetermined criterion in characteristics of a bit rate of a packet group once determined to be transmitted with a bandwidth guaranteed by said determination unit, said request unit requests said bandwidth control device (with respect to packet at a transfer speed, bit rate data transfer packet indicated by bandwidth guaranteed 100 and transfer speed of 100 bps with packet indicated by guaranteed bandwidth 150 and transfer speed of 150 bps, ¶ [0011], page 1, lines 5-17) to release the bandwidth guaranteed for said packet group (set bandwidth discarded and the is not carried out, ¶ [0028], page 3, lines 6-8).

Onoe et al. fails to disclose release the bandwidth.

But, Rogers discloses released the bandwidth, ¶ [0025], page 3, line11.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Rogers's release the bandwidth because this would have allowed

the system to guarantee the use and releasing of the bandwidth for use to another application, ¶ [0025], page 3, lines 9-11.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEON ANDREWS whose telephone number is (571)270-1801. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rao S. Seema can be reached on (571) 272-3174. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kevin C. Harper/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2416

Application/Control Number: 10/589,663
Art Unit: 2416

Page 12

LA/la
July 31, 2009